Dear Sir/Madam

I remain opposed to the scheme and I am concerned that in their latest statement National Highways has not taken important issues into account:

They have not taken the decision of the World Heritage Committee into effect. We have lost World Heritage Site status for Liverpool, we don't want to lose it for this most iconic site.

They have not considered the Secretary of State's finding that the impact of the western cutting would be "significantly adverse".

They have still not fully assessed alternative routes that are less damaging to the WHS, a longer tunnel or a southern by-pass route for example.

They have not considered alternatives to road engineering to tackle the issue of congestion – to improve public transport alternatives to the South West, for example. This is particularly critical given the latest reports from the

Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change report on the damage being caused by climate breakdown, and the need to urgently reduce emissions, not increase them as thecurrent Stonehenge road scheme would. The assessment of carbon emissions and costs should be updated urgently.

They have not re-assessed construction costs given the economic situation. We should be investing in renewables not roads.

We now have new aspirations for nature recovery in the Environment Act 2021 but this scheme will impact on many species including Stone Curlews and potentially Great Bustards.

Quentin Given

